



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/833,106	04/04/97	SMALL J	74892MSS

MILTON S SALES
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
PATENT LEGAL STAFF
ROCHESTER NY 14650-2201

LMC1/0706

EXAMINER

WHITE, M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2712

16

DATE MAILED: 07/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/833,106

Applicant(s)

Small

Examiner

Mitchell White

Group Art Unit

2712



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 14, 2000

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claim

☒ Claim(s) 1-24 ~~is~~ are pending in the applicat

Of the above, claim(s) 8-10 ~~is~~ are withdrawn from consideration

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-24 ~~is~~ are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☒ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

— SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES —

Art Unit: 2712

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 6/14/00 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/833,106 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 11-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. ***The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:***

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-7 and 11-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike et al. (Us 5,237,401) in view of Parulski et al. (US 5,040,068).**

Art Unit: 2712

Regarding claim 1, Koike et al. discloses, in fig. 1, a color image reading apparatus in which an image is formed on a multi-chip image sensor (5), converted into an electrical signal, and applied to a head amplifier section (6), where it is digitized and amplified. The image signal is then sent to a signal processing section (7) where it is initially processed and stored in memory (8, col. 4, lines 37-45). The image signal data is then compressed by the CPU (11) and stored in memory (12, col. 5, lines 54-58). Koike et al. further discloses a memory (13) which stores color correcting coefficients calculated by CPU (11) which allows for compensation of color reproducing characteristics of output equipment such as a printer (col. 2, lines 10-22) by using the CPU (11) and the stored correction coefficients stored in memory (13) to correct the image data (col. 6, lines 8-26). Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the image data is decompressed. However, it would have been obvious to decompress the image data in order to use the processed image data. Koike et al. does not explicitly stated that memory (12) is a nonvolatile memory. However, it would have been obvious for memory (12) to be a nonvolatile memory so that the image data would not be lost due to power failure. Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the signal processing section (7) performs color filter interpolation. However, Parulski et al. discloses an electronic imaging apparatus that includes a digital processor (168) which performs color filter interpolation (col. 7, lines 55-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the Koike et al. system to include the Parulski et al. digital processor to perform all the digital signal processing required by the system.

Art Unit: 2712

Regarding claim 2, Koike et al. discloses, in fig. 1, a memory (13) which stores correction coefficients used to correct image data (col.5, lines 23-30).

Regarding claim 3, Koike et al. performing error diffusion in response to a requisition from a printer and controlling a series of operations from the processing of the signals from the color original with the CCD sensor up to the transmitting of the signals through the error diffusing circuit (col. 1, lines 33-40).

Regarding claim 4, Koike et al. discloses, in fig. 1, a color image reading apparatus in which an image is formed on a multi-chip image sensor (5), converted into an electrical signal, and applied to a head amplifier section (6), where it is digitized and amplified. The image signal is then sent to a signal processing section (7) where it is initially processed and stored in memory (8, col. 4, lines 37-45). The image signal data is then compressed by the CPU (11) and stored in memory (12, col. 5, lines 54-58). Koike et al. further discloses a memory (13) which is a nonvolatile memory (col. 6, lines 37-43) that stores color correcting coefficients calculated by CPU (11) which allows for compensation of color reproducing characteristics of output equipment such as a printer such as color space transformation (col. 2, lines 10-22) by using the CPU (11) and the stored correction coefficients stored in memory (13) to correct the image data (col. 6, lines 8-26). Since Koike et al. discloses compensating for output equipment such as a printer, a printer interface is inherent in the Koike et al. image reading apparatus. Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the image data is decompressed. However, it would have been obvious to decompress the image data in order to use the processed image data. Koike et al. does

Art Unit: 2712

not explicitly stated that memory (12) is a nonvolatile memory. However, it would have been obvious for memory (12) to be a nonvolatile memory so that the image data would not be lost due to power failure. Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the signal processing section (7) performs color filter interpolation. However, Parulski et al. discloses an electronic imaging apparatus that includes a digital processor (168) which performs color filter interpolation (col. 7, lines 55-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the Koike et al. system to include the Parulski et al. digital processor to perform all the digital signal processing required by the system.

Regarding claim 5, Koike et al. discloses, in fig. 1, a color image reading apparatus in which an image is formed on a multi-chip image sensor (5), converted into an electrical signal, and applied to a head amplifier section (6), where it is digitized and amplified. The image signal is then sent to a signal processing section (7) where it is initially processed and stored in memory (8, col. 4, lines 37-45). The image signal data is then compressed by the CPU (11) and stored in memory (12, col. 5, lines 54-58). Koike et al. further discloses a memory (13) which is a nonvolatile memory (col. 6, lines 37-43) that stores color correcting coefficients calculated by CPU (11) which allows for compensation of color reproducing characteristics of output equipment such as a printer such as color space transformation (col. 2, lines 10-22) by using the CPU (11) and the stored correction coefficients stored in memory (13) to correct the image data (col. 6, lines 8-26). Since Koike et al. discloses compensating for output equipment such as a printer, a printer interface is inherent in the Koike et al. image reading apparatus. Koike et al.

Art Unit: 2712

does not explicitly state that the image data is decompressed. However, it would have been obvious to decompress the image data in order to use the processed image data. Koike et al. does not explicitly stated that memory (12) is a nonvolatile memory. However, it would have been obvious for memory (12) to be a nonvolatile memory so that the image data would not be lost due to power failure. Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the signal processing section (7) performs color filter interpolation. However, Parulski et al. discloses an electronic imaging apparatus that includes a digital processor (168) which performs color filter interpolation (col. 7, lines 55-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the Koike et al. system to include the Parulski et al. digital processor to perform all the digital signal processing required by the system.

Regarding claim 6, Koike et al. discloses, in fig. 1, a memory (13) which stores correction coefficients used to correct image data (col.5, lines 23-30).

Regarding claim 7, Koike et al. performing error diffusion in response to a requisition from a printer and controlling a series of operations from the processing of the signals from the color original with the CCD sensor up to the transmitting of the signals through the error diffusing circuit (col. 1, lines 33-40).

Regarding claim 11, Koike et al. discloses, in fig. 1, a color image reading apparatus in which an image is formed on a multi-chip image sensor (5), converted into an electrical signal, and applied to a head amplifier section (6), where it is digitized and amplified. The image signal is then sent to a signal processing section (7) where it is initially processed and stored in memory (8,

Art Unit: 2712

col. 4, lines 37-45). The image signal data is then compressed by the CPU (11) and stored in memory (12, col. 5, lines 54-58). Koike et al. further discloses a memory (13) which stores color correcting coefficients calculated by CPU (11) which allows for compensation of color reproducing characteristics of output equipment such as a printer (col. 2, lines 10-22) by using the CPU (11) and the stored correction coefficients stored in memory (13) to correct the image data (col. 6, lines 8-26). Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the image data is decompressed. However, it would have been obvious to decompress the image data in order to use the processed image data. Koike et al. does not explicitly stated that memory (12) is a nonvolatile memory. However, it would have been obvious for memory (12) to be a nonvolatile memory so that the image data would not be lost due to power failure. Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the signal processing section (7) performs color filter interpolation. However, Parulski et al. discloses an electronic imaging apparatus that includes a digital processor (168) which performs color filter interpolation (col. 7, lines 55-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the Koike et al. system to include the Parulski et al. digital processor to perform all the digital signal processing required by the system.

Regarding claim 12, Koike et al. discloses, in fig. 1, a memory (13) which stores correction coefficients used to correct image data (col.5, lines 23-30).

Regarding claim 13, Koike et al. performing error diffusion in response to a requisition from a printer and controlling a series of operations from the processing of the signals from the

Art Unit: 2712

color original with the CCD sensor up to the transmitting of the signals through the error diffusing circuit (col. 1, lines 33-40).

Regarding claim 14, Koike et al. discloses, in fig. 1, a color image reading apparatus in which an image is formed on a multi-chip image sensor (5), converted into an electrical signal, and applied to a head amplifier section (6), where it is digitized and amplified. The image signal is then sent to a signal processing section (7) where it is initially processed and stored in memory (8, col. 4, lines 37-45). The image signal data is then compressed by the CPU (11) and stored in memory (12, col. 5, lines 54-58). Koike et al. further discloses a memory (13) which stores color correcting coefficients calculated by CPU (11) which allows for compensation of color reproducing characteristics of output equipment such as a printer (col. 2, lines 10-22) by using the CPU (11) and the stored correction coefficients stored in memory (13) to correct the image data (col. 6, lines 8-26). Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the image data is decompressed. However, it would have been obvious to decompress the image data in order to use the processed image data. Koike et al. does not explicitly stated that memory (12) is a nonvolatile memory. However, it would have been obvious for memory (12) to be a nonvolatile memory so that the image data would not be lost due to power failure. Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the signal processing section (7) performs color filter interpolation. However, Parulski et al. discloses an electronic imaging apparatus that includes a digital processor (168) which performs

Art Unit: 2712

color filter interpolation (col. 7, lines 55-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the Koike et al. system to include the Parulski et al. digital processor to perform all the digital signal processing required by the system.

Regarding claim 15, Koike et al. disclose, in fig. 1, a memory (13) which stores correction coefficients used to correct image data (col.5, lines 23-30).

Regarding claim 16, Koike et al. disclose storing color correcting coefficients in a memory in compliance with the actual conditions of the output equipment (col. 2, lines 10-22).

Regarding claim 17, Koike et al. disclose storing color correcting coefficients in a memory in compliance with the actual conditions of the output equipment (col. 2, lines 10-22).

Regarding claim 18, Koike et al. discloses, in fig. 1, a color image reading apparatus in which an image is formed on a multi-chip image sensor (5), converted into an electrical signal, and applied to a head amplifier section (6), where it is digitized and amplified. The image signal is then sent to a signal processing section (7) where it is initially processed and stored in memory (8, col. 4, lines 37-45). The image signal data is then compressed by the CPU (11) and stored in memory (12, col. 5, lines 54-58). Koike et al. further discloses a memory (13) which stores color correcting coefficients calculated by CPU (11) which allows for compensation of color reproducing characteristics of output equipment such as a printer which are not always ideal with the apparatus (col. 2, lines 10-22) by using the CPU (11) and the stored correction coefficients stored in memory (13) to correct the image data (col. 6, lines 8-26). Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the image data is decompressed. However, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2712

decompress the image data in order to use the processed image data. Koike et al. does not explicitly stated that memory (12) is a nonvolatile memory. However, it would have been obvious for memory (12) to be a nonvolatile memory so that the image data would not be lost due to power failure. Koike et al. does not explicitly state that the signal processing section (7) performs color filter interpolation. However, Parulski et al. discloses an electronic imaging apparatus that includes a digital processor (168) which performs color filter interpolation (col. 7, lines 55-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the Koike et al. system to include the Parulski et al. digital processor to perform all the digital signal processing required by the system.

Regarding claim 19, Koike et al. disclose storing color correcting coefficients in a memory in compliance with the actual conditions of the output equipment (col. 2, lines 10-22).

Regarding claim 20, Koike et al. disclose storing color correcting coefficients in a memory in compliance with the actual conditions of the output equipment (col. 2, lines 10-22).

Regarding claims 21-24, Koike et al. discloses a memory (13) which stores color correcting coefficients calculated by CPU (11) which allows for compensation of color reproducing characteristics of output equipment such as a printer which are not always ideal with the apparatus (col. 2, lines 10-22) by using the CPU (11) and the stored correction coefficients stored in memory (13) to correct the image data (col. 6, lines 8-26).

Art Unit: 2712

Conclusion

5. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6306 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121

Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).


6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mitchell White whose telephone number is (703) 305-8155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

MLW

June 30, 2000


Wendy Garber
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2700